University of Kentucky

From the SelectedWorks of Glen Mays

Summer June 26, 2016

Integrating Delivery and Financing Systems Across Sectors to Build a Culture of Health

Glen P. Mays, University of Kentucky



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/glen_mays/252/

Integrating Delivery and Financing Systems Across Sectors to Build a Culture of Health

Glen Mays, PhD, MPH University of Kentucky

glen.mays@uky.edu

systemsforaction.org

AcademyHealth Annual Research Meeting • Boston, MA • 26 June 2015



Center for Public Health Systems and Services Research Systems for Action National Coordinating Center Systems and Services Research to Build a Culture of Health

A Framework for Building a Culture of Health



http://www.rwjf.org/en/culture-of-health/2015/11/measuring_what_matte.html

Mission: Widen the lens beyond health care & public health systems

Rigorous research to identify novel mechanisms for aligning delivery and financing systems in *medical care*, public health, and social & community services in ways that improve *health* and wellbeing, achieve efficiencies in resource use, and reduce *inequities*.

www.systemsforaction.org



Research Agenda Delivery and Financing System Innovations for a Culture of Health

Wide lens: implicated sectors

- Public health
- Medical care: ACOs, PCMCs, AHCs
- Income support
- Nutrition and food security
- Education and workforce development
- Housing
- Transportation
- Criminal justice
- Child and family services
- Community development and finance





USDA

Program

Putting Healthy Food

Within Reach

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance

Study novel mechanisms for aligning systems and services across sectors

- Innovative alliances and partnerships
- Inter-governmental and public-private ventures
- New financing and payment arrangements
- Incentives for individuals, organizations & communities
- Governance and decision-making structures
- Information exchange and decision support
- New technology: m-health, tele-health
- Community engagement, public values and preferences
- Innovative workforce and staffing models
- Cross-sector planning and priority-setting

S4A Program Structure





Signature research projects

- University of Chicago: Randomized trial of a Comprehensive Care, Community and Culture program
- Arizona State University: Analysis of medical, mental health, and criminal justice system interactions for persons with behavioral health disorders
- IUPUI: Evaluating integration and decision support strategies for a community-based safety net health care and public health system
- University of Kentucky: Measuring multi-sector contributions to public health services and impact on population health.

Understanding the Value of Multi-Sector Work to Improve Population Health

Glen Mays, PhD, MPH University of Kentucky

glen.mays@uky.edu

systemsforaction.org

AcademyHealth Annual Research Meeting • Boston, MA • 26 June 2015



Center for Public Health Systems and Services Research Systems for Action National Coordinating Center Systems and Services Research to Build a Culture of Health

Losing ground in population health



 Or latest year available. Source: OECD Health Data 2010.

WHO 2010

How do we support effective population health improvement strategies?

- Designed to achieve large-scale health improvement: neighborhood, city/county, region
- Target fundamental and often multiple determinants of health
- Mobilize the collective actions of multiple stakeholders in government & private sector
 - Infrastructure
 - Information
 - Incentives

Mays GP. Governmental public health and the economics of adaptation to population health strategies. *National Academy of Medicine Discussion Paper*. 2014. http://nam.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/EconomicsOfAdaptation.pdf

Challenge: overcoming collective action problems across systems & sectors

- Incentive compatibility → public goods
- Concentrated costs & diffuse benefits
- Time lags: costs vs. improvements
- Uncertainties about what works
- Asymmetry in information
- Difficulties measuring progress



- Weak and variable institutions & infrastructure
- Imbalance: resources vs. needs
- Stability & sustainability of funding



National Academy of Sciences Institute of Medicine: *For the Public's Health: Investing in a Healthier Future.* Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2012.

Questions of interest

- Which organizations contribute to the implementation of population health activities in local communities?
- How do these contributions change over time?
 Recession | Recovery | ACA implementation
- What are the health and economic effects attributable to these multi-sector activities?

Guided by Culture of Health Action Framework



http://www.rwjf.org/en/culture-of-health/2015/11/measuring_what_matte.html

A useful lens for studying multi-sector work

National Longitudinal Survey of Public Health Systems

- Cohort of 360 communities with at least 100,000 residents
- Followed over time: 1998, 2006, 2012, 2014**, 2016
- Local public health officials report:
 - Scope: availability of 20 recommended population health activities
 - Network: organizations contributing to each activity
 - Centrality of effort: contributed by governmental public health agency
 - *Quality*: perceived effectiveness of each activity

** Expanded sample of 500 communities<100,000 added in 2014 wave

Data linkages expand analytic possibilities

- Area Health Resource File: health resources, demographics, socioeconomic status, insurance coverage
- NACCHO Profile data: public health agency institutional and financial characteristics
- CMS Impact File & Cost Report: hospital ownership, market share, uncompensated care
- **Dartmouth Atlas**: Area-level medical spending (Medicare)
- CDC Compressed Mortality File: Cause-specific death rates by county
- Equality of Opportunity Project (Chetty): local estimates of life expectancy by income
- National Health Interview Survey: individual-level health
- **HCUP**: area-level hospital and ED use, readmissions

Mapping who contributes to population health



Mays GP et al. Understanding the organization of public health delivery systems: an empirical typology. *Milbank Q.* 2010;88(1):81–111.

Classifying multi-sector delivery systems for population health 1998-2014



Comprehensive Public Health Systems

One of RWJF's Culture of Health National Metrics

- Broad scope of population health activities
- Dense network of multi-sector relationships
- Central actors to coordinate actions

Access to public health

47.2%

Overall, 47.2 percent of the population is covered by a comprehensive public health system. Individuals are more likely to have access if they are non-White (51.5 percent vs. 45.5 percent White) or live in a metropolitan area (48.7 percent vs. 34.1 percent in nonmetropolitan areas).

of population served by a comprehensive public health system

http://www.cultureofhealth.org/en/integrated-systems/access.html

Changes in system prevalence and coverage

System Capital Measures	1998	2006	2012	2014	2014 (<100k)
Comprehensive systems					
% of communities	24.2%	36.9%	31.1%	32.7%	25.7%
% of population	25.0%	50.8%	47.7%	47.2%	36.6%
Conventional systems					
% of communities	50.1%	33.9%	49.0%	40.1%	57.6%
% of population	46.9%	25.8%	36.3%	32.5%	47.3%
Limited systems					
% of communities	25.6%	29.2%	19.9%	20.6%	16.7%
% of population	28.1%	23.4%	16.0%	19.6%	16.1%

Mays GP, Hogg RA. Economic shocks and public health protections in US metropolitan areas. Am J Public Health. 2015;105 Suppl 2:S280-7.

Changes in intensive and extensive margins of system capital during the Great Recession



Mays GP, Hogg RA. Economic shocks and public health protections in US metropolitan areas. Am J Public Health. 2015;105 Suppl 2:S280-7.

Equity in population health delivery systems Implementation of recommended population health activities



Quintiles of communities

Mays GP, Hogg RA. Economic shocks and public health protections in US metropolitan areas. Am J Public Health. 2015;105 Suppl 2:S280-7.

Organizational contributions to population health activities, 1998-2014

% of Recommended Activities Implemented

			Percent
Type of Organization	<u>1998</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>Change</u>
Local public health agencies	60.7%	67.5%	11.1%
Other local government agencies	31.8%	33.2%	4.4%
State public health agencies	46.0%	34.3%	-25.4%
Other state government agencies	17.2%	12.3%	-28.8%
Federal government agencies	7.0%	7.2%	3.7%
Hospitals	37.3%	46.6%	24.7%
Physician practices	20.2%	18.0%	-10.6%
Community health centers	12.4%	29.0%	134.6%
Health insurers	8.6%	10.6%	23.0%
Employers/businesses	16.9%	15.3%	-9.6%
Schools	30.7%	25.2%	-17.9%
Universities/colleges	15.6%	22.6%	44.7%
Faith-based organizations	19.2%	17.5%	-9.1%
Other nonprofit organizations	31.9%	32.5%	2.0%
Other	8.5%	5.2%	-38.4%

Health effects attributable to multi-sector work

Fixed effects IV Estimates on Mortality, 1998-2014



Models also control for racial composition, unemployment, health insurance coverage, educational attainment, age composition, and state and year fixed effects. N=1019 community-years

Economic effects attributable to multi-sector work

Fixed effects and IV Estimates of Comprehensive System Capital Effects on Medical Spending (Medicare), 1998-2014



Models also control for racial composition, unemployment, health insurance coverage, educational attainment, age composition, and state and year fixed effects. N=1019 community-years. Vertical lines are 95% confidence intervals

Economic effects attributable to multi-sector work

Fixed effects Estimates of Comprehensive System Capital Effects on Life Expectancy by Income (Chetty), 2001-2014



Models also control for racial composition, unemployment, health insurance coverage, educational attainment, age composition, and state and year fixed effects. N=1019 community-years. Vertical lines are 95% confidence intervals

Conclusions: What we know and still need to learn

- Large potential benefits of integrated multi-sector work on population health
- Inequities in population health activities are large
- Integration requires support
 - -Infrastructure
 - -Institutions
 - -Incentives

Sustainability and resiliency are not automatic

For More Information

Systems for Action National Coordinating Center

Systems and Services Research to Build a Culture of Health

Supported by The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

Glen P. Mays, Ph.D., M.P.H. glen.mays@uky.edu @GlenMays

- Email: systemsforaction@uky.edu
- Web: www.systemsforaction.org
 www.publichealthsystems.org
 Journal: www.FrontiersinPHSSR.org
 Archive: works.bepress.com/glen_mays
 Blog: publichealtheconomics.org



Center for Public Health Systems and Services Research

References

- Mays GP, Hogg RA. Economic shocks and public health protections in US metropolitan areas. **Am J Public Health**. 2015;105 Suppl 2:S280-7. PMCID: PMC4355691.
- Hogg RA, Mays GP, Mamaril CB. Hospital contributions to the delivery of public health activities in US metropolitan areas: National and Longitudinal Trends. **Am J Public Health**. 2015;105(8):1646-52. PubMed PMID: 26066929.
- Smith SA, Mays GP, Felix HC, Tilford JM, Curran GM, Preston MA. Impact of economic constraints on public health delivery systems structures. **Am J Public Health**. 2015;105(9):e48-53. PMID: 26180988.
- Ingram RC, Scutchfield FD, Mays GP, Bhandari MW. The economic, institutional, and political determinants of public health delivery system structures. **Public Health Rep**. 2012;127(2):208-15. PMCID: PMC3268806.
- Mays GP, Smith SA. Evidence links increases in public health spending to declines in preventable deaths. Health Affairs. 2011 Aug;30(8):1585-93. PMC4019932
- Mays GP, Scutchfield FD. Improving public health system performance through multiorganizational partnerships. **Prev Chronic Dis**. 2010;7(6):A116. PMCID: PMC2995603
- Mays GP, Scutchfield FD, Bhandari MW, Smith SA. Understanding the organization of public health delivery systems: an empirical typology. **Milbank Q**. 2010;88(1):81-111. PMCID: PMC2888010.
- Mays GP, Smith SA. Geographic variation in public health spending: correlates and consequences. Health Serv Res. 2009 Oct;44(5 Pt 2):1796-817. PMC2758407.
- Mays GP, Smith SA, Ingram RC, Racster LJ, Lamberth CD, Lovely ES. Public health delivery systems: evidence, uncertainty, and emerging research needs. Am J Prev Med. 2009;36(3):256-65. PMID: 19215851.
- Mays GP, McHugh MC, Shim K, Perry N, Lenaway D, Halverson PK, Moonesinghe R. Institutional and economic determinants of public health system performance. **Am J Public Health**. 2006;96(3):523-31. PubMed PMID: 16449584; PMC1470518.
- Mays GP, Halverson PK, Baker EL, Stevens R, Vann JJ. Availability and perceived effectiveness of public health activities in the nation's most populous communities. **Am J Public Health**. 2004;94(6):1019-26. PMCID: PMC1448383.
- Mays GP, Halverson PK, Stevens R. The contributions of managed care plans to public health practice: evidence from the nation's largest local health departments. **Public Health Rep**. 2001;116 Suppl 1:50-67. PMCID: PMC1913663.
- Mays GP, Halverson PK, Kaluzny AD, Norton EC. How managed care plans contribute to public health practice. **Inquiry**. 2001;37(4):389-410. PubMed PMID: 11252448.
- Halverson PK, Mays GP, Kaluzny AD. Working together? Organizational and market determinants of collaboration between public health and medical care providers. **Am J Public Health**. 2000;90(12):1913-6. PMCID: PMC1446432.
- Roper WL, Mays GP. The changing managed care-public health interface. **JAMA**.1998;280(20):1739-40. PubMed PMID: 9842939. Mays GP, Halverson PK, Kaluzny AD. Collaboration to improve community health: trends and alternative models. **Jt Comm J Qual Improv**. 1998 Oct;24(10):518-40.PubMed PMID: 9801951.
- Halverson PK, Mays GP, Kaluzny AD, Richards TB. Not-so-strange bedfellows: models of interaction between managed care plans and public health agencies. Milbank Q. 1997;75(1):113-38. PMCID: PMC2751038